UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	NEW YORK	X
EYEWONDER, INC.,	Plaintiff,	: : No. 08 CV 3579 (GBD) : : ECF Case
v. JOHN ABRAHAM,	Defendant.	: AFFIDAVIT OF ERIC D. : WITKIN IN SUPPORT : OF DEFENDANT'S : MOTION TO EXTEND : HIS TIME TO RESPOND : TO THE COMPLAINT
STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK)) SS:.)	x

ERIC D. WITKIN, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in New York and in this Court. I am Special Counsel to the law firm of Littler Mendelson, P.C. which was substituted into this matter as attorneys for Defendant John Abraham ("Defendant") on Friday afternoon, May 9, 2008. I make this affidavit in support of Defendant's instant motion for an extension of his time to respond to the Complaint herein.
- 2. On Friday May 9, 2008, after our firm first appeared for Defendant, I contacted one of Plaintiff's attorneys Lisa Pearson of the law firm of Kilpatrick Stockton LLP, informed her of the substitution and requested a 30-day extension of Defendant's time to respond to the Complaint, as we understood that a response to the Complaint was due on Monday May 12, 2008. Ms. Pearson stated that she would check with her client and get back to me.

- Today, after Ms. Pearson indicated that Defendant could have an additional week 3. to respond, I sent Ms. Pearson a proposed Stipulation extending Defendant's time to answer, move against or otherwise respond to the Complaint (copy attached as Exhibit A hereto). Ms. Pearson sent back a revised Stipulation agreeing to extend Defendant's time to answer the Complaint but not to extend Defendant's time to make any other response to the Complaint, including a motion (Exhibit B hereto).
- When I received Ms. Pearson's revised Stipulation, I telephoned her and 4. requested that she sign the Stipulation that I had sent to her. She refused and stated that I had only asked for an extension of time to answer, and that in any event, she could not agree to anything else. I reminded her that I had asked her to extend Defendant's time to respond to the Complaint, not simply to serve an answer to it.
- Our firm was just substituted into this case on Friday May 9, 2008, and has not 5. had sufficient opportunity to assess, among other things, whether or not Defendant should exercise his rights to respond to the Complaint by motion rather than by serving an Answer.
- Defendant has made no prior request of an extension of his time to respond to the 6. Complaint.
 - The instant motion is not intended to delay the proceedings in this matter. 7.
- On Defendant's behalf, I ask that the Court grant his request for an additional 30 8. days in which to answer, move against or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

-3-

Sworn to before me this 12th day of May 2008

Qualified in New York County (Commission Expires April 17, 2001 **EXHIBIT A**

UNITED STATES DIST. SOUTHERN DISTRICT	OF NEW YORK	v
EYEWONDER, INC.,	Plaintiff,	: No. 08 CV 3579 (GBD) : ECF Case
v. JOHN ABRAHAM,	Defendant.	: STIPULATION AND : ORDER ADJOURNING : RESPONSE TO : COMPLAINT
		AND AGREED by and between the undersigned
attorneys for all the partie	es herein that the ti	ime in which Defendant John Abraham ("Defendant")
may answer, move agai	nst or otherwise 1	respond to the Complaint herein be and is hereby
adjourned to and including	ng May 19, 2008 w	vithout prejudice to any defenses Defendant may wish
to assert.		
Dated: New York,	York	
LITTLER MENDELS	ON, P.C.	KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
By: Joel L. Finger 900 Third Avenue, 20 New York, New Yorl (212) 497-8485 Phon (212) 832-2719 Fax SO ORDERED:	k 10022	By: Lisa Pearson 31 West 52 nd Street, 14 th Floor New York, New York 10019 (212) 775-8700 Phone (212) 775-8800 Fax
	Date:	
U.S.D.J.		

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K
EYEWONDER, INC., Plaintiff, v. JOHN ABRAHAM, Defendant.	: :
	AND AGREED by and between the undersigned
attorneys for all the parties herein that the	e time in which Defendant John Abraham ("Defendant")
may answer the Complaint herein be an	nd is hereby adjourned to and including May 19, 2008
without prejudice to any defenses Defend	lant may wish to assert.
Dated: New York, New York May 12, 2008	
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.	KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
By: Joel L. Finger 900 Third Avenue, 20th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 497-8485 Phone (212) 832-2719 Fax	By: Lisa Pearson 31 West 52 nd Street, 14 th Floor New York, New York 10019 (212) 775-8700 Phone (212) 775-8800 Fax
SO ORDERED:	
Date:	
U.S.D.J.	